

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION**


2013, subject to any further Order of the Court, it is ORDERED that Mobotix's request for a stay is **DENIED**;

- \* to the extent Mobotix's request for an immediate stay is a request that Mobotix be excused from responding to e-Watch's interrogatories and requests for production of documents served on May 13, 2013, subject to any further Order of the Court, it is ORDERED that Mobotix's request for a stay is **GRANTED**;
- \* in all other respects, Mobotix's request for a stay is **PROVISIONALLY GRANTED** pending further Order of the Court; that is, specifically, after Mobotix serves its invalidity disclosures on or before June 14, 2013, as required by the Scheduling Order and the foregoing Order in this Order Accepting the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, all other unexpired deadlines in the Scheduling Order are **SUSPENDED** pending further Order of the Court; if Mobotix has not filed petitions for *inter partes* review for each of the patents-in-suit by Friday, June 7, 2013, the parties must so advise the Court no later than **Friday, June 21, 2013**, in joint or separate advisories that provide the parties' position(s) on whether, and to what extent, the provisional stay should be lifted due to Mobotix's decision not to pursue *inter partes* review of any patent-in-suit as represented in its motion for stay; otherwise, if no advisories are filed on or before Friday, June 21, 2013, this case will be immediately **stayed and administratively closed, nunc pro tunc** as of June 14, 2013, pending further Order of the Court; the parties must file joint or separate advisories within **thirty (30) calendar days** of the conclusion of the *inter partes* review of each patent-in-suit providing the Court notice of the conclusion of the proceedings, the parties' position(s) on whether an appeal of the *inter partes* review decision will be pursued, an estimate on the time required for any appellate proceedings, and any other relevant matters (to include a brief report on the status of any other *inter partes* review proceeding then pending before the PTAB or on appeal); unless and until the Court Orders otherwise, the stay of this case will continue through the conclusion of any appellate proceedings regarding any patent-in-suit.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case remains referred to the Magistrate Judge for further proceedings.

It is so ORDERED.

SIGNED this 14th day of June, 2013.

  
FRED BIERY  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE